

#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3140



## EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO: This ESA is issued to: CAA-10-2012-0078

Rite Stuff Foods, Inc.

2155 South Lincoln Avenue

Jerome, Idaho 83338

This Expedited Settlement Agreement (ESA) is being entered into by the Complainant, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 (EPA), and by Respondent pursuant to Section 113(a) (3) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(a)(3) and (d), and by 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b). On June 21, 2011, EPA obtained the concurrence of the U.S. Department of Justice, pursuant to Section 113(d) (1) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d) (1), to pursue this administrative enforcement action.

#### ALLEGED VIOLATIONS

EPA found that Respondent had violated regulations implementing Section 112(r) of the Act at 40 C.F.R. Part 68 by failing to comply with the regulations as noted on the enclosed Risk Management Plan Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations Summary, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

#### SETTLEMENT

In consideration of Respondent's size of business, its full compliance history, its good- faith effort to comply, and other factors as justice may require, and upon consideration of the entire record, the parties enter into the ESA in order to settle the violations, described in the enclosed Summary for the total penalty amount of \$5,700.

This settlement is subject to the following terms and conditions:

Respondent, by signing below, waives any objections that it may have regarding jurisdiction, neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations contained herein and in the Summary, and consents to the assessment of the penalty as stated above.

Respondent waives its rights to a hearing afforded by Section 113(d) (2)(A) of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(d) (2) (A), and to appeal this ESA. Each party to this action shall bear its own costs and fees, if any.

HEARINGS CLERK

This ESA is binding on the parties signing below.

This ESA is effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

FOR	RES	PON	DEN	T

Signature:

Name (print): Jone F. M.
Title (print): Perur May

Cost to correct violation(s): 5, 700 °

Date: 3/7/12

FOR COMPLAINANT:

Edward J. Kowalski

Director

Office of Compliance and Enforcement

I hereby ratify the ESA and incorporate it herein by reference. It is so ORDERED.

Thomas M. Jahnke

Regional Judicial Officer

EPA Region 10

Date:

# PAGENCY CASENCY

### U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

## Risk Management Program Inspection Findings and Alleged Violations Summary Region 10

REASON FOR INSPECTION: This inspection is for the purpose of determining compliance with Section 112(r)(7) accidental release prevention requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended 1990. The scope of this inspection may include, but is not limited to: reviewing and obtaining copies of documents and records; interviews and taking of statements; reviewing of chemical storage, handling, processing, and use; taking samples and photographs; and any other inspection activities necessary to determine compliance with the Act.

Rite Stuff Foods, Inc.	# EMPLOYEES 175 POPULATION SERVED:				
FACILITY LOCATION	INSPECTION START DATE AND TIME: May 17, 2011; 1:00 PM				
2155 South Lincoln Avenue, Jerome, Idaho 83338	INSPECTION START DATE AND TIME: IMBY 17, 2011, 1.00 FWI				
MAILING ADDRESS	INSPECTION END DATE AND TIME: May 17, 2011; 5-25 PM				
2155 South Lincoln Avenue, Jerome, Idaho 83338					
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL. TITLE, PHONE NUMBER					
Ralph West, Refrigerator Supervisor, (208) 421-2399	EPA FACILITY ID# 1000 0019 8118				
FACILITY REPRESENTATIVE(S), TITLE(S), PHONE NUMBER(S) John Marten, Plant Manager, (208) 421-2399 Rich Howard, Maintenance Manager, (208) 421-2399	INSPECTOR NAME(S), TITLE(S), PHONE NUMBER(S) Robert Hales, US EPA Region 10, RMP Lead Inspector Javier Morales, US EPA Region 10, RMP Coordinator Stephanie Allen, US EPA Region 10, RMP Inspector Charles Wilson, US EPA Region 10, RMP Inspector Bryan Berna, TechLaw, Inc., START-3 Contractor  INSPECTOR SIGNATURE  DATE				
INSPECTION FINDINGS					
IS FACILITY SUBJECT TO RMP REGULATION (40 CFR 68)?   ☑ YES ☐ NO					
DID FACILITY SUBMIT AN RMP AS PROVIDED IN 68.150 TO 68.185?	⊠ YES □ NO				
DATE RMP FILED WITH EPA: 02/05/07	DATE OF LATEST RMP UPDATE: 05/22/07				
PROCESS/NAICS CODE: 68911/70535     REGULATED SUBSTANCE: anhydrous ammonia	PROGRAM LEVEL: 1 □ 2 □ 3 ☒  MAX. QUANTITY IN PROCESS: 18,000 lbs (lbs)				
DESCRIPTION OF ALLEGED VIOLATIONS  CAA Section 112(r) and its implementing regulations in 40 C.F.R. Part 68 require an owner or operator of a stationary source that has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance (listed in § 68.130) in a process, to develop a Risk Management Plan (RMP) and Risk Management Program.  Four EPA representatives and an EPA contractor inspected the Rite Stuff Foods, Inc., Jerome, Idaho facility on May 17, 2011. Based upon this inspection the Rite Stuff Foods facility is in violation of the following risk management program elements:  1. The process safety information does not contain the following for the equipment in the process: [68.65(d)(1)]  a. Electrical classification [68.65(d)(1)(iii)]  b. Ventilation system design [68.65(d)(1)(v)]  c. Safety systems [68.65(d)(1)(viii)]					
<ol> <li>The owner or operator has not established a system to promptly address the team's findings and recommendations; assured that the recommendations are resolved in a timely manner and documented; documented what actions are to be taken; completed actions as soon as possible; developed a written schedule of when these actions are to be completed; and communicated the actions to operating, maintenance, and other employees whose work assignments are in the process and who may be affected by the recommendations. [68.67(e)]</li> <li>The owner or operator has not developed and implemented written operating procedures that provide instructions or steps for conducting activities associated with each covered process consistent with the safety information in the following areas:         <ol> <li>Emergency shutdown including the conditions under which emergency shutdown is required, and the assignment of shutdown responsibility to qualified operators to ensure that emergency shutdown is executed in a safe and timely manner. [68.69(a)(1)(iv)]</li> <li>Startup following a turnaround, or after emergency shutdown. [68.69(a)(1)(vii)]</li> </ol> </li> </ol>					
DID FACILITY CORRECTLY ASSIGN PROGRAM LEVELS TO PROCESSES?   ☐ NO					
ATTACHED CHECKLIST(S):					
☐ PROGRAM LEVEL 1 PROCESS CHECKLIST ☐ PROGRAM LEVEL 2 PROCESS CHECKLIST ☐ PROGRAM LEVEL 3 PROCESS CHECKLIST					
OTHER ATTACHMENTS;					